AMERICAN SOCIETY OF ACCESS PROFESSIONALS

FOIA – PRIVACY ACT WORKSHOP

RICHARD HUFF, FORMER CO-DIRECTOR OIP

SPECIAL THANKS TO ALINA SEMO FOR SLIDE CREATION

FOIA'S LAW ENFORCEMENT TOOLS - EXEMPTION 7

- Why are law enforcement records different?
 - Inherent sensitivity to subject
 - Disclosure of information could derail investigation

EXEMPTION 7 THRESHOLD TEST

- records or information compiled for law enforcement purposes
- disclosure would cause or could reasonably be expected to cause one of the harms outlined in the 6 subparts. 5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(7)

To withhold records under Exemption 7, both the threshold and one of the 6 subparts must apply

- 1) Records or information
- 2) Compiled for
- 3) Law enforcement purposes

EXEMPTION 7 THRESHOLD BROADER THAN JUST CRIMINAL LAW

- Regulatory, civil, and criminal enforcement
- Personnel investigations involving specific allegations of misconduct
- National security/terrorism investigations
- Most agencies have some type of law enforcement mission

Exemption 7 Threshold:
Examples from Case Law
and Practice

EXEMPTION 7(A) - ACTIVE INVESTIGATIONS

Threshold requirement

• Protects information if disclosure *could* reasonably be expected to interfere with enforcement proceedings.

5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(7)(A).

TWO ELEMENTS OF EXEMPTION 7(A)

- 1. Active, pending, or prospective investigation
- 2. Harm could reasonable be expected to result from disclosure

EXEMPTION 7(A)

- Typically not intended to last forever
- "Who gets to say 7(A)?"
- Fugitives and other issues
- Articulating the harm by categories
- Expiration of 7(A)
- Exclusion

Exemption 7(A):
Examples from Case Law
and Practice

EXEMPTION 7(B) - FAIR TRIAL

- Purpose: Prevent prejudicial pretrial publicity that could impair a court proceeding
- Threshold requirement
- Disclosure would "... deprive a person of a right to a fair trial or impartial adjudication." 5 U.S.C. §552(b)(7)(B)
 - Flip side of Exemption 7(A)

EXEMPTION 7(D) CONFIDENTIAL SOURCES

- Confidential sources are critical to law enforcement
- Threshold requirement
- Covers records/information that *could reasonably be expected* to disclose the identify of a confidential source, and additionally in criminal or national security cases all information provided by a source.

EXEMPTION 7(D) CONFIDENTIAL SOURCES

- Purpose: Ensures that confidential sources are not lost:
 - through retaliation (physical, employment, shame in community, etc.)
 - because of past information or fear of future disclosure

EXEMPTION 7(D) CONFIDENTIAL SOURCES

- Confidentiality can be express or implied
- *Glomar* or exclusion?
- Do not disclose if you suspect coercion
- Verify identity of source/requester
- Physically safeguard information

EXEMPTION 7(D) WHO CAN BE A SOURCE?

- Can include a state, local, or foreign agency or authority or any private institution
- Federal gov't employees but not federal agencies can be sources
- Landano test:
 - Express grant of confidentiality
 - Implied grant of confidentiality
 - Waiver

Exemption 7(D):
Examples from Case Law
and Practice

EXEMPTION 7(E) TECHNIQUES/PROCEDURES/ GUIDELINES

- Threshold requirement
- Techniques and procedures for law enforcement investigations or prosecutions and
- Guidelines for law enforcement investigations or prosecutions if disclosure *could reasonably be expected* to risk circumvention of law
- 5 U.S.C. §552(b)(7)(E).

EXEMPTION 7(E) TECHNIQUES/PROCEDURES/ GUIDELINES

- First Clause techniques & procedures
 - Cannot be well known to the public
 - Articulating harm in release
 - Would disclosure nullify effectiveness?
 - Examples
 - Glomar

EXEMPTION 7(E) TECHNIQUES/PROCEDURES/ GUIDELINES

- Second Clause Guidelines
 - Harm standard is risk of circumvention
- Possible examples:
 - law enforcement manuals
 - settlement guidelines
 - monographs on law enforcement subjects
 - emergency plans

Exemption 7(E):

Examples from Case Law

and Practice

EXEMPTION 7(F) -ENDANGERING LIFE OR PHYSICAL SAFETY

- Threshold requirement
- Disclosure could reasonably be expected to endanger life or physical safety of any individual. 5 U.S.C. \$552(b)(7)(F)
- Lower threshold than (b)(7)(C)
 - no balancing test required
 - show reasonable likelihood of harm
 - deference to agency's harm assessment

Exemption 7(F): Examples from Case Law and Practice

Applicability of Demonstrable Harm Standard?

QUESTIONS? COMMENTS?



